

EVALUATION FOR FYP1

GENERAL EVALUATION (20%)

1) Project scope suitability with bachelor degree

0.0	The scope is not stated at all
1.0	The scope is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree
2.0	The scope is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has not achieved the stated scope
3.0	The scope is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has achieved the only some parts of the stated scope
4.0	The scope is stated, suitable with bachelor degree and the project has achieved the stated scope

2) Clarity of problem statement

0.0	The problem statement is not stated at all
1.0	The problem statement is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree
2.0	The problem statement is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has not addressed the stated problem statement
3.0	The problem statement is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has achieved the only some parts of the stated problem statement
4.0	The problem statement is stated, suitable with bachelor degree and the project has addressed the stated problem statement

3) Clarity of project aim

0.0	The project aim is not stated at all
2.0	The project aim is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree in computer science
4.0	The project aim is stated and suitable with bachelor degree in computer science

4) The matching of the objective with the project aim

0.0	The objective is not stated at all
1.0	The objective is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree in computer science
2.0	The objective is stated but does not match with the project goal
3.0	The objective stated matches only some of the project goal
4.0	The objective stated is suitable with bachelor degree and matches with project goal

5) Expected result suitability

0.0	The expected result is not stated at all
1.0	The expected result is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree
2.0	The expected result stated is suitable with bachelor degree but unsuitable with the problem statement, goal and project objective
3.0	The expected result stated is suitable with bachelor degree but only some of the expected result answers the problem statement, goal and project objective
4.0	The expected result stated is suitable with bachelor degree and answers all the problem statement, goal and project objective

REPORT (60%)

1. Suitability of the design of Methodology/Approach/Formula/Technique/device

0	design is not suitable at all
1.0	design is suitable but does not comply bachelor expectation and does not meet project Objective
2.0	design complies bachelor expectation but does not meet project objective
3.0	design complies bachelor expectation but meet only some of project objective
4.0	design complies bachelor expectation and meet all project objective

2. Competency in designing the system/solutions/technique/device/algorithm

0.0	Student fails to design the system/solutions/technique/device/algorithm
4.0	Student can only design some parts of the project and fulfills some of the project objectives
8.0	Student managed to design a complete project but doesn't fulfill project objective
16.0	Student managed to design a complete project and fulfills all project objectives

3. Creativity and uniqueness of the project (own copyright)

0.0	Project is plagiarise
2.0	Project is not unique, but modified and improved from the existing source with minimum changes
4.0	Project is not unique, but modified and improved from the existing source with satisfying changes
8.0	Project is unique, creative and developed by the student
	Project is unique, creative and innovative

4. Introduction

0.0	No introduction in the dissertation report
2.0	The introduction section is too brief and does not contain proper introduction, problem statement, objective and expected result
4.0	The introduction section is too brief and only contain some of the introduction, problem statement, objective and expected result
6.0	Good introduction and contains minimum background information, problem statement, objective and project output
8.0	Good introduction and contains good writing on background information, problem statement, objective and project output
10.	Good introduction and contains interesting background information, problem statement, objective and project output

5. Literature review

0.0	No literature review in the dissertation report
2.0	Too limited literature review and no reference
4.0	Too limited literature review and incomplete reference
6.0	Moderate literature review and no reference
8.0	Moderate literature review and incomplete reference
10.	Good literature review and proper reference

6. References

0	No references and appendix in the dissertation report
1.0	References is provided but does not follow the standard
2.0	References is provided but only some follows the standard
3.0	References is provided and follows the standard

7. Originality in Writing

0	The dissertation report is not original
2	The dissertation report is 25% original
4	The dissertation report is 50% original
6	The dissertation report is 75% original

8. Report Presentation

0	The structure of the report is not in logical sequence, sentences is hanging and unclear
1.0	The structure report is with logical sequence, some sentences is hanging and unclear
2.0	The structure report is in logical sequence, minimum sentences is hanging and unclear
3.0	Good logical sequence, sentences are clear and comprehensive

Presentation(20%)

1. Understanding and Clarity of Content

0	Lacked of content related to the project
2.0	Content is poorly organized & presentation is mostly unclear-understanding is impeded
3.0	Content is somewhat logically organized and some part of the content are unclear
5.0	Content is organized is a logical manner and presentation is clearly understood

2. Fluency of Presentation

0	Unable to present
2	Speech is mostly not fluent and contains any unnatural pauses/false start
3.0	Speech is somewhat fluent and contains some unnatural pauses/false start
5.0	Speaks fluently and smooth flowing without unnatural pauses/false start

3. Question and Answer

0	Unable to answer any questions
2	Unable to address the question asked and response is inappropriate or irrelevant to the discussion
3.0	Able to address the question asked but with some difficulty and response is not quite appropriate or relevant to the discussion
5.0	Able to address the question asked with confidence and provides appropriate response, relevant to the discussion

4. Non-Verbal Communication

0	Voice volume too soft and unable to pronounce correctly
2	Voice is not loud enough, mostly monotonous, poor eye contact and read from text
3.0	Sufficiently loud volume but sometime low, flat intonation, sometimes talk to screen
5.0	Sufficiently loud volume with good intonation and maintains good eye contact